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Consider the linear differential equation in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d z_{1}}{d T}=\lambda_{1} z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{d z_{n}}{d T}=\lambda_{n} z_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

We will always consider complex time $T \in \mathbb{C}$.
This equation can be written in matrix form:

$$
\frac{d Z}{d T}=\Lambda Z
$$

where $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ is adiagonal matrix, and $Z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)^{t}$ is a column vector.

We will always assume that $\lambda_{i} \neq 0(i=1, \cdots, n)$ so that $\Lambda$ is invertible. The equation determines a linear action of $\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, in other words complex flow, $\varphi_{T}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ (Thus, $\varphi_{T_{1}+T_{2}}=\varphi_{T_{1}} \circ \varphi_{T_{2}}$ ).

This linear flow is given explicitly by the formula:

$$
\varphi_{T}(Z)=e^{T \wedge} Z=\left(e^{T \lambda_{1}} z_{1}, \cdots, e^{T \lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right)^{t}, T \in \mathbb{C}, Z^{t} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

If $Z \neq 0$ the orbit or leaf of $Z$, denoted by $L(Z)$ is parametrized by the map from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by the function

$$
T \mapsto\left(e^{T \lambda_{1}} z_{1}, \cdots, e^{T \lambda_{n}} z_{n}\right)^{t} \text {, where } Z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)^{t}
$$

The complement of the origin $\mathbb{C}^{n}-\{0\}$, is foliated by the orbits of the flow. The orbits are immersed copies of $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{*}$.
There is a dichotomy:

1. The origin of $\mathbb{C}$ is in the convex hull of $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$.

This happens if and only if there exists a point $Z$ such that its leaf $L(Z)$ does not accumulate at the origin.
2. The origin of $\mathbb{C}$ is not in the convex hull of $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$.

This happens if and only if every leaf accumulates at the origin.
When 2) happens there exists (generically) an open set $\mathcal{U}$, saturated by the leaves of the flow, such that the space of leaves is a Hausdorff space which is in fact a complex manifold $M$. Even more, there is a free holomorphic action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ on $M$ such that the orbit space is a compact complex manifold. The set $\mathcal{U}$ is the union of subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ spanned by certain nonempty subsets of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

## BASIC EXAMPLE

In $\mathbb{C}$ consider a non-degenerate triangle with vertices $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$. Suppose that the origin is in the interior of this triangle. Then the open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^{3}$ is the complement of the three coordinate hyperplanes $z_{1}=0, z_{2}=0$ and $z_{3}=0$. The set in $\mathbb{C}^{3}-\{0\}$ given by the equation $(\star)$

$$
\lambda_{1}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{3}\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}=0
$$

meets every leaf in $\mathcal{U}$ in exactly one point. So that the space of leaves in $\mathcal{U}$ can be identified with the set, also denoted by $M$, satisfying this equation. The set $M$ is a complex cone with the origin deleted so that if $Z \in M$ also $c Z \in M$ for al $c \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$.
Hence one has a free action of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and the quotient $N:=M / \mathbb{C}^{*}$, then a complex, compact manifold of dimension one. In fact $N$ is an elliptic curve.

Any elliptic curve is obtained this way.

We see that $N$ is the projectivization of $M$ and therefore $N$ can be identified is the set of points satisfying the following two equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{2}\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{3}\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}=0 \\
\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

modulo the natural action of the circle given by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \mapsto\left(\mu z_{1}, \mu z_{2}, \mu z_{3}\right),|\mu|=1, \quad\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right) \in N
$$

We can generalize this to linear actions of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ when $n>2 m$.
Let $m$ be a positive integer and $n$ an integer greater than $2 m$.
Let $\Lambda=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ be a configuration of $n$ vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{H}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \cdots, \Lambda_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{m}$ be the convex hull of the $n$-tuple $\Lambda=\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$.

One says that $\Lambda$ ist admissible if the following holds true:

1) The Siegel condition:

The origin 0 belongs to the convex hull $\mathcal{H}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$.
2) The weak hyperbolicity condition:

For every $2 m$-tuple ( $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{2 m}$ ), $1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 m} \leq n$ (recall $n>2 m$ ), one has: $0 \notin \mathcal{H}\left(\wedge_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \Lambda_{i_{1}}\right)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the holomorphic foliation of complex projective $(n-1)$-space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by the action

$$
\begin{gathered}
(T,[z]) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \longmapsto\left[z_{1} \cdot \exp \left\langle\Lambda_{1}, T\right\rangle, \ldots, z_{n} \cdot \exp \left\langle\Lambda_{n}, T\right\rangle\right] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\
T=\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{m}, \Lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

The brackets denote projective homogeneous coordinates of the corresponding projective space:

$$
[z]:=\left[z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right]
$$

and $\langle-,-\rangle$ defined by:

$$
\langle[z],[w]\rangle:=z_{1} w_{1}+\cdots+z_{m} w_{m}
$$

is the inner product (not the hermitian product).

This action of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is the projectivization of the linear action in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by the family of $m$ linear commuting vector fields of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by the diagonal matrices whose eigenvalues are the entries of $\Lambda_{i}$.
Therefore, consider the lifting of this action and the corresponding foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ given by the orbits of the action:

$$
(T, z) \in \mathbb{C}^{m} \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \longmapsto\left(z_{1} \cdot \exp \left\langle\Lambda_{1}, T\right\rangle, \ldots, z_{n} \cdot \exp \left\langle\Lambda_{n}, T\right\rangle\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

If $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ we say that the leaf (or orbit) $L(z)$ of the action of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is a Siegel leaf if 0 it is not in the closure of $L(z)$. If 0 is in the closure of $L(z)$ we say that the leaf $L(z)$ is of Poincaré type.

Next we will describe an open set $\mathcal{S}$, of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, where the space of leaves is Hausdorff.

For $z=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, let $I(z)=\left\{j \in\{1,2 \cdots, n\} \mid z_{j} \neq 0\right\}$

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \quad \mid \quad 0 \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left\{\Lambda_{i} \mid i \in I(z)\right\}\right)\right\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the image of $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.
Finally, let

$$
\mathcal{T}=\left\{\left.z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \quad\left|\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}\right| z_{i}\right|^{2}=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{\left.[z] \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \quad\left|\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}\right| z_{i}\right|^{2}=0\right\}
$$

We see from its definition that $\mathcal{S}=\mathbb{C}^{n}-E$ where $E$ is an analytic set, whose different components correspond to subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ where some coordinates vanish. Therefore $\mathcal{S}$ contains $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ and it is invariant under the natural action on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ via diagonal and invertible matrices. Another characterization of $\mathcal{S}$ is the following:

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid 0 \text { is not in the closure of the leaf of } \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \text { through } z\right\}
$$

in other words $\mathcal{S}$ is the union of the Siegel Leaves and it open and invariant under the action of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$

The weak hyperbolicity condition implies that the system of quadratic equations which define $\mathcal{T}$ et $\mathcal{N}$, given before, are of maximal rank in in every point.

The Siegel condition implies that both $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ nonempty. One also shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a non singular foliation when restricted to $\mathcal{S}$ and that $\mathcal{T}$ is a smooth manifold which meets every leaf of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contained in $\mathcal{S}$ and it is transverse to the leaves. In other words: the quotient space $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ restricted to $\mathcal{S}$ can be canonically identified to $\mathcal{T}$ and therefore it is a Hausdorff space.

An important fact is that $\mathcal{T}$ can be given the structure of a complex manifold which we denote by $M$.

In the same way, $\mathcal{N}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{F}$ restricted to $V$ and therefore becomes a compact complex manifold. Let us denote by $N$ this complex manifold. The complex dimension of $M$ is $n-m$ and the complex dimension of $N$ is $n-m-1$.

The natural projection $M \rightarrow N$, induced by the projection $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, is a principal $\mathbb{C}^{*}$-bundle.
Let $M_{1}$ denote the total space of the associated circle bundle. It has the same homotopy type as $M$ but has the advantage of being compact. Let us note that $M_{1}$ can be identified with the transverse intersection of the cone $\mathcal{T}$ with the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Therefore we define:

$$
M_{1}=\left\{\left.z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \quad\left|\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}\right| z_{i}\right|^{2}=0, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

The space $\mathcal{S}$ has the same homotopy of $M$ and therefore the same homotopy type of $M_{1}$.

## Examples

(i) If $n=2 m+1$, then the convex hull of the $\Lambda_{i}$ 's is combinatorially equal to the $2 m+1$-simplex of $\mathbb{C}^{m} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2 m}$. If we take out one of the $\Lambda_{i}$ 's, 0 does not belong to the convex closure of the others. In other words, $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ One can show that $N$ is a complex torus and that every complex torus is obtained this way.
(ii) If $m=1$ Let us define for, $n \geq 4$ :

$$
\Lambda_{1}=1 \quad \Lambda_{2}=i \quad \Lambda_{3}=\ldots=\Lambda_{n}=-1-i
$$

One can prove in this case that $\mathcal{S}$ is equal to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \backslash\{0\}$. Let us consider the two equations which define $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|z_{1}\right|^{2}=\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \left|z_{2}\right|^{2}=\left|z_{3}\right|^{2}+\ldots+\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we intersect $\mathcal{T}$ with the unit sphere $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ we see that this intersection is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-5} \times \mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and one shows that $N$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 n-5}$. In particular, for $n=4$, one obtains all linear Hopf surfaces.
(iii) If $m=1$ let:

$$
\Lambda_{1}=1 \quad \Lambda_{2}=\Lambda_{3}=i \quad \Lambda_{4}=\Lambda_{5}=-1-i
$$

The same reasoning as before shows that $N$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{3} \times \mathbb{S}^{3}$.

On obtains this in this way examples of Calabi-Eckmann.

A Calabi-Eckmann manifold is a complex manifold whose underlying smooth manifold is a product of odd-dimensional spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 m-1}$.
One can show that every linear Calabi-Eckmann manifold is obtained this way.
(iv) In the case that one has the configuration given by the vertices of the pentagon


Santiago López de Medrano has shown that $M_{1}$ is diffeomorphic to the connected sum of five copies of $\mathbb{S}^{3} \times \mathbb{S}^{4}$. The manifold $N$, is the quotient of $M_{1}$ by the orbits of a free action of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$.

## Examples of complex. compact non-symplectic manifolds

In the examples (ii) and (iv), one obtains non-symplectic manifolds, since their second de Rham cohomology group vanishes.
This is a general fact in the manifolds we have obtained:
In general the manifold $N$ is a compact, complex manifold which is not symplectic.

## THEOREM

The following properties are two-by-two equivalent: (i) $N$ est symplectic.
(ii) $N$ is a Kähler manifold.
(iii) $N$ is a complex torus
(iv) One has $n=2 m+1$.

Let $\left(\Lambda_{1}, \cdots, \Lambda_{n}\right)$ be a configuration admissible i.e. it satisfies both the Siegel and weak hyperbolicity conditions as before.
Consider the system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \Lambda_{i} & =0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that the configuration satisfies condition $(K)$ if the dimension over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the vector space of rational solutions of the system above is maximal, in other words is of dimension $n-2 m-1$.

## THEOREM

Let $N$ be one of our manifolds corresponding to a configuration which satisfies condition (K).
Then $N$ is a Seifert fibration in complex torii of dimension $m$ over a quasi-regular, projective, toric variety of dimension $n-2 m-1$.

This theorem has the following:

## COROLLARY

Let $N$ satisfy the conditions of the above theorem. Then the algebraic reduction of $N$ is a quasi-regular, projective, toric variety of dimension $n-2 m-1$.

As a particular case of the previous theorem one recovers the elliptic fibrations used by E. Calabi et B. Eckmann to provide the product of spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2 p-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{2 q-1}$ (pour $p>1$ et $q>1$ ) with a complex structure. This generalization is given by the following

## Definition

A generalized Calabi-Eckmann fibration is the fibration obtained by the previous theorem.
Since we know, fixing $m$ and $n$, that the set of configurations satisfying condition $(\mathrm{K})$ is dense in the space of admissible configurations on obtains:

COROLLARY
Every manifold $N$ corresponding to an admissible configuration is a small deformation of a generalized Calabi-Eckmann fibration

## THEOREM

Let $X$ be a projective, quasi-regular, toric variety. Then there exists $m>0$ and a manifold $N$ corresponding to an admissible configuration which admits a generalized Calabi-Eckmann over $X$ and whose fibres are complex torii of complex dimension $m$.
Furthermore, if $X$ is nonsingular (smooth), one can choose $m$ and $N$ such that the fibration is a holomorphic principal fibration.

## Associated Polytope

Let $N$ be as before. Let, as before,

$$
M_{1}=\left\{\left.z \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \quad\left|\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}\right| z_{i}\right|^{2}=0, \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

Let us remark that the standard action of the torus $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)^{n}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$

$$
(\exp i \theta, z) \in\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \longmapsto\left(\exp i \theta_{1} \cdot z_{1}, \ldots, \exp i \theta_{n} \cdot z_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}(\star \star)
$$

leaves $M_{1}$ invariant. The quotient of $M_{1}$ by this action can be identified, via the difféomorphism $r \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow r^{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, to

$$
K=\left\{r \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}\right)^{n} \quad \mid \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \Lambda_{i}=0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=1\right\}
$$

## LEMMA

The quotient $K$ is a convex polytope of dimension $n-2 m-1$ with $n-k$ hyperfaces.
Proof. By definition $K$ is the intersection of the space $A$ of solutions of an affine system with the closed sets $r_{i} \geq 0$. Each one of these closed sets defines an affine half-space $A \cap\left\{r_{i} \geq 0\right\}$ in the affine space $A$. In other words, $K$ is the intersection of a finite number of affine half-spaces. Since this intersection is bounded (since $M_{1}$ is compact), one obtains indeed a convex polytope. The weak hyperbolicity condition implies that the affine system that defines $K$ is of maximal rank. Hence, $K$ is of dimension $n-2 m-1$.

Let us consider in more detail the definition of $K$. The points $r \in K$ verifying $r_{i}>0$ for all $i$ are the points which belong to the interior of the convex polytope. They correspond to the points $z$ de $M_{1}$ which also belong to $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$, i.e. to the points of $M_{1}$ such that the orbit under the action ( $\star \star$ ) is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)^{n}$. The points which belong to a hyperface are exactly the points $r$ of $K$ having all of its coordinates except one equal to zero. They correspond to the points $z$ de $M_{1}$ which have a unique coordinate equal to zero, i.e. such that its orbit under the action $(\star \star)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)^{n-1}$. One obtains from the definition of $K$ that there exist points of $K$ having all coordinates different from zero except the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate if and only 0 belongs to the convex envelope of the configuration formed by the $\Lambda_{j}$ with $j$ different from $i$; hence if and only if $\Lambda_{i}$ is a point which can be eliminated keeping the conditions of Siegel and weak hyperbolicity. therefore one has $n-k$ hyperfaces.

One calls the convex polytope $K$ the associated polytope. One central idea is that the topology of the manifolds $M_{1}$, and therefore of the manifolds $N$, is codified by the combinatorial type of the polytope $K$. To make this idea more precise, it is interesting to push to the end the reasoning involved in the proof of the preceding lemma. One had seen that

$$
K_{i}=K \cap\left\{r_{i}=0, r_{j}>0 \text { for } j \neq i\right\}
$$

is nonempty, and therefore is a hyperface de $K$, if and only if

$$
0 \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)_{j \neq i}\right)
$$

Analogously, given I a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set

$$
K_{I}=K \cap\left\{r_{i}=0 \text { for } i \in I, r_{j}>0 \text { for } j \notin I\right\}
$$

is nonempty, and therefore it is a facet of $K$ of codimension equal the cardinality of $I$, if and only if

$$
0 \in \mathcal{H}\left(\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)_{j \nexists I}\right)
$$

One has therefore stablished a very important correspondence between two convex polytopes: the polytope $K$ on one hand and the convex hull of the $\Lambda_{i}$ 's on the other hand.
This correspondence allows us to to prove the following result:

## THEOREM

(i) The polytope $K$ is simple, in other words, it is the dual of a simplicial polytope.
(ii) Let $P$ be a simple convex polytope. Then there exists manifolds $N$, as described before, whose associated polytope is combinatorially equivalent to $P$.
Sketch of the proof. The first part is a direct consequence of the existence of the correspondence. One translates the weak hyperbolicity condition in the combinatorics of $K$ to deduce that each vertex of $K$ is a vertex of exactly $n-2 m-1$ edges, and this number is precisely the dimension of $K$. This property characterizes simple polytopes. To prove (ii), one needs to reconstruct the convex hull of the $\Lambda_{i}$ 's from the polytope $P$. The correspondence described before can be expressed in the following way: The convex hull of the $\Lambda_{i}$ 's must be a Gale diagram of the polytope which is dual to $P$. There are classical methods in combinatorics and convex geometry to construct such diagrams and this permits to finish the proof. $\square$
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